1

2

3

5

J

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BRANDI CZERNIEWSKI, individually, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Bruce Schepens, as Trustee of the Bruce Schepens Revocable Trust, and as Trustee of the Bruce Schepens Separate Property Trust,

Plaintiff.

VS.

KRISTINE KEPPEL, an individual, and TD AMERITRADE, INC., A Foreign Corporation,

Defendant.

2:18-cv-02078-KJD-VCF

ORDER

Before the Court is the Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery and Case Deadlines and Revised Scheduling Order (ECF No. 37).

Under LR IA 6-1(a), a motion or stipulation to extend time must state the reasons for the extension requested and must inform the court of all previous extensions of the subject deadline the court granted. (Examples: "This is the first stipulation for extension of time to file motions." "This is the third motion to extend time to take discovery.") A request made after the expiration of the specified period will not be granted unless the movant or attorney demonstrates that the failure to file the motion before the deadline expired was the result of excusable neglect.

Under LR 26-3,

A motion or stipulation to extend any date set by the discovery plan, scheduling order, or other order must, in addition to satisfying the requirements of LR IA 6-1, be supported by a showing of good cause for the extension. A motion or stipulation to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan must be received by the court no later than 21 days before the expiration of the subject deadline. A request made within 21 days of the subject deadline must be supported by a showing of good cause. A request made after the expiration of the subject deadline will not be granted unless the movant also demonstrates that the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect. A motion or stipulation to extend a discovery deadline or to reopen discovery must include:

1	(a) A statement specifying the discovery completed;
2	(b) A specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed;
3	(c) The reasons why the deadline was not satisfied or the remaining discovery was not completed within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and
5	(d) A proposed schedule for completing all remaining discovery.
6	A 1' 1 KEIG HEDEDY ODDEDED (1 (4) GC 1 C 1 (5) 1 O 1 (7) E (1 D)
7	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery and
8	Case Deadlines and Revised Scheduling Order (ECF No. 37) is DENIED without prejudice.
9	DATED this 14th day of July, 2020.
10	CAM FERENBACH UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
I	